17-REZ-18 – Chapel Hill Road Rezoning
Neighborhood Meeting Questions and Answers Transcript

On Wednesday, July 12, 2017, a meeting was held to inform neighbors of the proposed Chapel Hill Road rezoning (Town of Cary case number 17-REZ-18) and gain their feedback for consideration of the rezoning request. The Town of Cary notified neighbors within 800 feet of the property boundary. One neighbor, the owner of 9408 Chapel Hill Road, attended the meeting as shown on the attached sign-in sheet. The attendees that represented the development team included Jon Frazier, FLM Engineering, and Rick Toppe, Glenda S. Toppe & Associates. Scott Ramage, Principal Planner, represented the Town of Cary. The development team shared two exhibits: (1) an aerial map exhibit showing the subject properties and adjacent properties within 800 feet and (2) a plan showing anticipated property buffers and road extensions. Below is a list of the questions/concerns raised at the meeting along with answers provided by the development team.

1. **Ms. Thomasson noted that her mother, recently widowed, would likely have concerns due to development activity on the subject properties.** The development team shared the exhibit showing the anticipated buffers and roads and described how Ms. Thomasson’s property would be buffered by either improved private streets or 40’ landscaped buffers.

2. **Ms. Thomasson asked what the anticipated uses of the property would be.** The development team pointed out that the condition proposed on the rezoning is to limit uses to semi-detached and attached dwellings and townhomes and noted that the development is intended to be a second phase to the adjacent Silver Grove townhome development.

3. **Ms. Thomasson asked if the private drive adjacent to her property would be widened and/or paved and if driveway access to her property would be provided.** The development team responded that the drive, if utilized for the development, would be widened and paved to meet the Town of Cary's requirements for private streets and that driveway access to her property would be provided.

4. **Ms. Thomasson asked if there are any plans for development of adjacent properties to the southeast of her property as part of this request.** The development responded that they were not aware of any plans to develop any other properties than those included in this request.